

On the low-energy density of states in disordered d -wave superconductor

Guo-Zhu Liu

Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R. China

We study the low-energy density of states of Dirac fermions in disordered d -wave superconductor. At zero energy, a finite density of states is obtained via the mechanism of dynamical mass generation in an effective (1+1)-dimensional relativistic field theory.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 11.30.Rd

The low-energy excitations of d -wave cuprate superconductor are relativistic massless Dirac fermions. If the superconductor is clean, the density of states (DOS) vanishes as $N(\omega) \sim |\omega|$ in the vicinity of Fermi surface. This behavior is believed to be substantially influenced by weak static disorder. However, despite extensive experimental and theoretical investigations, the low-energy DOS of disordered d -wave superconductor is still a subject under fierce debate^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}.

In the conventional self-consistent T -matrix approximation (SCTMA), the single-particle density of states (DOS) $N(\omega)$ at Fermi surface is found to be finite as the result of impurity scattering^{2,3,4,5,6}. The finiteness of zero-energy DOS $N(0)$ received support from early numerical calculations^{7,8,9}. However, the prediction of finite DOS at Fermi surface and even the SCTMA treatment were criticized by Nersesyan *et al.*¹⁰. Describing the static disorder in terms of random abelian (or non-abelian) gauge fields and then averaging the random variables, they mapped the two-dimensional disordered Dirac fermion system to an effective (1+1)-dimensional relativistic field theory. At zero energy $\omega = 0$, the effective theory possesses a continuous chiral symmetry, with $N(0)$ being the relevant order parameter. Nersesyan *et al.* argued that $N(0)$ must be zero because the continuous symmetry can not be spontaneously broken, as required by the Coleman-Mermin-Wagner (CMW) theorem. They then applied the non-perturbative bosonization method to treat the effective theory and found that $N(\omega)$ has a power-law dependence on energy and finally vanishes at $\omega = 0$.

After the work of Nersesyan *et al.*, a series of novel methods, mostly non-perturbative, have been put forward to calculate the low-energy DOS^{11,12,13}. Surprisingly, the resulted theoretical predictions are quite different. The DOS at Fermi surface is found to vanish or diverge, depending on the disorder model studied and methods used. These seemingly conflicting results, however, can be unified by introducing a novel diffusion mode at momentum (π, π) , which arises from a global nesting symmetry¹⁴. In addition, a duality relation was proposed¹⁵ to exist between the Gaussian limit of weak disorder and the unitary limit of dilute disorder, thus connecting the vanishing $N(0)$ to the diverging one.

Though making significant progress in enriching our understanding on random Dirac fermions, the theoretical predictions of vanishing and diverging zero-energy

DOS are unlikely to agree with experiments in cuprate superconductors¹. On the contrary, there are experimental facts that imply the finiteness of $N(0)$ ¹. Specifically, the heat transport measurements¹⁶ provide rather strong evidence for the existence of a universal thermal conductivity, which was deduced from a finite $N(0)$ ⁶.

In this paper, we revisit the issue of low-energy DOS of disordered Dirac fermions. For weak static disorder, the frequencies are not mixed during the process of impurity scattering. Then the frequency ω_n can be considered as an external parameter. In the effective (1+1)-dimensional relativistic model in terms of fermionic field η , ω_n plays the role of a bare mass. It is renormalized by random impurity effects to become a physical frequency. Due to the mechanism of dynamical mass generation, the DOS $N(\omega)$ has a nonzero magnitude even at $\omega = 0$.

In the two-component Nambu representation $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = (c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger, c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow})$, the Hamiltonian of two-dimensional d -wave superconductor can be written as

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger [\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \tau_3 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \tau_2] \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (1)$$

where τ_i are the Pauli matrices. The electron dispersion is $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t(\cos k_x a + \cos k_y a) - \mu$ and the d -wave energy gap is $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_0(\cos k_x a - \cos k_y a)$ which has four nodes at the Fermi level. Making a $\pi/2$ rotation in the Nambu space as $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow e^{i\pi\tau_2/4} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and then linearizing the spectrum in the vicinity of nodes, we have $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \pm \sqrt{v_f^2 k_1^2 + v_2^2 k_2^2}$, where $\mathbf{k}_1$ is perpendicular to the Fermi surface and $\mathbf{k}_2$ is parallel to the Fermi surface. We also defined the Fermi velocity $\mathbf{v}_f = \partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} / \partial \mathbf{k} = v_f \mathbf{k}_1$ with $v_f = 2\sqrt{2}ta$, and the gap velocity $\mathbf{v}_2 = \partial \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} / \partial \mathbf{k} = v_2 \mathbf{k}_2$ with $v_2 = \Delta_0 a / \sqrt{2}$.

Following Nersesyan *et al.*¹⁰, we use a continuum description in terms of the two-component spinor field ψ

$$H = \sum_j \int d^2x \psi_j^\dagger(x) \hat{H}_j(x) \psi_j(x),$$

$$\hat{H}_{1(2)} = -\hat{H}_{\bar{1}(\bar{2})} = -iv_1 \partial_{1(2)} \tau_1 - iv_2 \partial_{2(1)} \tau_2, \quad (2)$$

with node index being $j = 1, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{2}$. The next step is to rescale the spatial coordinates and spinor field as $x_1 \rightarrow v_f x_1$, $x_2 \rightarrow v_2 x_2$, and $\psi_j \rightarrow (v_f v_2)^{-1/2} \psi_j$. For the sake of simplicity, we consider only the forward scattering process, so the random potential couples to the Dirac

fermion as a random abelian gauge field¹⁰. For the disordered Dirac fermion with frequency ω_n , the generating functional is written in the form

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\psi \exp(-S) \quad (3)$$

with two-dimensional Euclidean action

$$S = \int d^2x \psi^\dagger [-i\tau_1(\partial_1 + iA) - i\tau_2\partial_2 - i\omega_n]\psi. \quad (4)$$

The probability distribution of random gauge field $A(x)$ has the form

$$P[A] = \int \mathcal{D}A \exp\left(-\int d^2x \frac{A^2(x)}{2g}\right), \quad (5)$$

Then the random gauge field can be averaged using the replica trick, leading to the following effective action

$$S = \int d^2x [\bar{\eta}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \omega_n)\eta - \frac{g}{2}(\bar{\eta}\gamma^1\eta)^2], \quad (6)$$

where the new fermion fields are defined as $\bar{\eta} = -i\psi^\dagger$ and $\eta = \psi$. This action has already been written in the (1+1)-dimensional Minkowski space with metric $g_{\mu\nu} = (1, -1)$. There is a summation over all r replicas, though the summing notation is hidden. The gamma matrices are given by $\gamma^0 = \tau_2$ and $\gamma^1 = \tau_1$.

The action (6) is identified as the (1+1)-dimensional massive Thirring model, and frequency ω_n as the mass of fermionic field η . Within this model, the disordered Dirac fermion DOS is

$$N(\omega) \sim \text{Re}[\text{Tr}\langle \bar{\eta}(x)\eta(x) \rangle]_{i\omega_n \rightarrow \omega + i\delta}. \quad (7)$$

At zero-energy $\omega_n = 0$, the action (6) is invariant under the continuous chiral transformation

$$\eta \rightarrow e^{i\theta\gamma^5}\eta, \bar{\eta} \rightarrow \bar{\eta}e^{i\theta\gamma^5}, \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma^5 = \tau_3$. A mass term $\sim \langle \bar{\eta}\eta \rangle$ seems to break this symmetry. It was claimed¹⁰ that the zero-energy DOS $N(0)$ must vanish because continuous symmetry can not be broken in (1+1)-dimensional. However, the CMW theorem does not apply to the present case since the associated symmetry group is non-compact^{17,18,19}. The massless fermion η can acquire a finite mass through self-interaction²⁰. Dynamical mass generation for fermion η should have an important effect on the low-energy DOS of the massless nodal fermion.

Now we calculate the dynamical mass by solving the self-consistent Dyson-Schwinger integral equation. In the effective (1+1)-dimensional model (6), the free fermion propagator is

$$G_0(k) = \frac{i}{\gamma \cdot k - \omega_n}. \quad (9)$$

The bare mass ω_n is corrected by the disorder effects to become a new quantity $\tilde{\omega}_n$, which may be called a physical mass. The associated complete fermion propagator

can be written as

$$G(k) = \frac{i}{\gamma \cdot k - \tilde{\omega}_n}. \quad (10)$$

The Dyson-Schwinger equation is given by

$$G^{-1}(p) = G_0^{-1}(p) - \text{Tr} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} G(k) \Gamma(k, p). \quad (11)$$

To the one-loop order, the vertex function $\Gamma(k, p)$ is simply the bare vertex $-i\lambda = -igN$, where N is the number of nodes (flavor of Dirac fermion). Substituting propagators (9) and (10) into the above equation, we have

$$\tilde{\omega}_n = \omega_n + \lambda \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \text{Tr} \left[\frac{i}{\gamma \cdot k - \tilde{\omega}_n} \right]. \quad (12)$$

At zero frequency $\omega_n = 0$, the equation has the following solution

$$\tilde{\omega}_n^0 \equiv \gamma = \Delta_0 e^{-\frac{\pi}{\lambda}}. \quad (13)$$

For finite but small frequency ω_n , $\tilde{\omega}_n$ is the frequency-dependent, physical mass. It is interesting to point out that this kind of nonlinear equation has been encountered in the QCD theory²³. Through the strong interaction mediated by non-abelian gauge fields, the small mass of quarks is significantly enhanced via the mechanism of dynamical (approximate) chiral symmetry breaking²³. After angular integration and Wick rotation, the integral equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_n &= \omega_n + \lambda \tilde{\omega}_n \int \frac{d^2k_E}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{k_E^2 + \tilde{\omega}_n^2} \\ &= \omega_n + \tilde{\omega}_n \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \ln \frac{\Delta_0}{\tilde{\omega}_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where Δ_0 acting as the ultra-violet cutoff. Suppose that $\omega_n \ll \tilde{\omega}_n$, then the solution is

$$\tilde{\omega}_n = \gamma + \frac{\pi}{\lambda} \omega_n, \quad (15)$$

which has the correct limit $\tilde{\omega}_n(\omega_n \rightarrow 0) = \gamma$. When the energy $\omega_n > \gamma$, the quantity γ is relatively unimportant and can be safely neglected. As the frequency is decreasing, it becomes comparable with ω_n and finally dominates at $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$.

To calculate the low-energy DOS of fermions, we may return to the (2+1)-dimensional Matsubara formalism. The DOS is given by

$$N(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \text{Tr}[\text{Im}G_{\text{ret}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)]. \quad (16)$$

Using the retarded Green function

$$G_{\text{ret}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega} - \tau \cdot \mathbf{k} + i\delta}, \quad (17)$$

we arrive at the following low-energy DOS

$$N(\omega) = \frac{\gamma}{\pi v_f v_2} + \frac{|\omega|}{v_f v_2 \lambda}. \quad (18)$$

At zero energy limit, it has a finite value $N(0) = \gamma/\pi v_f v_2$. This result can be understood as follows. Assume that the renormalized frequency $\tilde{\omega}$ contains all the effects of random impurity potentials. Then the disordered Dirac fermion with frequency ω is equivalent to the clean Dirac fermion with frequency $\tilde{\omega}$. It is known that the DOS of clean Dirac fermion is⁶

$$N_c(\omega) = \frac{|\omega|}{\pi v_f v_2}. \quad (19)$$

Replacing bare frequency ω with dynamical $\tilde{\omega}$ then leads to the DOS of disordered Dirac fermion (18).

In conclusion, while starting from the same Hamiltonian and effective (1+1)-dimensional field theory as those of Nersesyan *et al.*¹⁰, we arrive at a different expression of low-energy DOS, which remains finite at zero-energy.

I would like to thank C. Mudry for valuable communication and for calling my attention to references^{15,17}. This work is supported by NSF of China No. 10674122.

-
- ¹ N. E. Hussey, Adv. Phys. **51**, 1685 (2002).
² L. P. Gor'kov and P. A. Kalugin, JETP Lett. **41**, 253 (1985)
³ S. Schmitt-Rink, K. Miyake, and C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2575 (1986).
⁴ P. J. Hirschfeld, D. Vollhardt, and P. Wölfle, Solid State Commun. **59**, 111 (1986).
⁵ P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1887 (1993).
⁶ A. Durst and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 1270 (2000).
⁷ Y. Hatsugai and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 4204 (1993).
⁸ T. Xiang and J. M. Wheatley, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 11721 (1995).
⁹ K. Ziegler, M. H. Hettler, and P. J. Hirschfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3013 (1996); Phys. Rev. B **57**, 10825 (1998).
¹⁰ A. A. Nersesyan, A. M. Tsvelik, and F. Wenger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2628 (1994); Nucl. Phys. B **438**, 561 (1995).
¹¹ T. Senthil, M. P. A. Fisher, L. Balents, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4704 (1998); T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 6893 (1999).
¹² C. Pepin and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 054502 (2001).
¹³ A. Altland, B. D. Simons, and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rep. **359**, 283 (2002).
¹⁴ A. G. Yashenkin, W. A. Atkinson, I. V. Gornyi, P. J. Hirschfeld, and D. V. Khveshchenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5982 (2001).
¹⁵ C. Chamon and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 100503 (2001).
¹⁶ L. Taillfer, B. Lussier, R. Gagnon, K. Behnia, and H. Aubin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 483 (1997).
¹⁷ C. Mudry, C. Chamon, and X.-G. Wen, Nucl. Phys. B **466**, 383 (1996).
¹⁸ I am grateful to C. Mudry for pointing this out to me.
¹⁹ Even if the group is compact, dynamical mass generation can still occur. As argued by Witten, the chiral Gross-Neveu model, which has the same continuous chiral symmetry as the massless Thirring model, acquires a dynamical mass without destroying the CMW theorem. See E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **145**, 110 (1978).
²⁰ Generally, there can be two other types of random potentials²¹: random chemical potential $V(\mathbf{r})$, and random mass $M(\mathbf{r})$. Averaging these random variables yields $g_1(\bar{\eta}\eta)^2 + g_2(\bar{\eta}\gamma^5\eta)^2$ with coefficients $g_{1,2}$. These terms respect the discrete chiral symmetry, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma^5\eta$, which is spontaneously broken by a finite fermion mass^{10,22}.
²¹ A. W. W. Ludwig, M. P. A. Fisher, R. Shankar, and G. Grinstein, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 7526 (1994).
²² M. P. A. Fisher and E. Fradkin, Nucl. Phys. B **251**, 457 (1985).
²³ S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, Vol.II, (Cambridge University Press, 1995)